

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/754,390	01/09/2004	Abaneshwar Prasad	100196	7753	
29050 STEVEN WES	7590 04/25/200 FMAN	7	EXAMINER		
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL, I.P.			MULLER, BRYAN R		
	OELECTRONICS CO OMMONS DRIVE	RPORATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AURORA, IL (	60504	•	3723		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/754,390	PRASAD ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bryan R. Muller	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b)</li> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection.  Idvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	idavit, or other evidene compliance with 37 CF ust be filed within one in the final rejection, whi g date of the final rejectio	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following chever is later. In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
<ul> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11.          ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Charle	1. Naite

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 will not be entered because, although the applicant does provide good and sufficient reason why the amendment is necessary, the applicant fails to provide any reason that the Declaration was not earlier presented, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.116(e), and the examiner feels that entry of the Declaration would require further consideration and/or search.